(iii) follow up with the State Governments in respect of problems relating to acquisition of land, rehabilitation related issues, forest clearances, provision of infrastructure facilities and ensuring law and order at project sites;

(iv) setting up of Empowered Committees in the administrative Ministries for review of departmentally executed projects;

(v) inter-ministerial coordination for resolving outstanding problems;

(vi) setting up of Standing Committees in the Ministries/ Departments to fix responsibility for time and cost overruns;

(vii) appointment of nodal officers for each project with continuity of tenure; and

(viii) issuance of guidelines on standard bidding documents for adoption.

Statement

Projects having registered Cost overruns in the State of Uttar Pradesh (as on 1.4.2002)

Units: Cost/Expenditure Rs. Crores

Sr.	Name No. Location	Capa-city	Dale Appro-val	Date of Commissioning Original (Revised)	Date of Commissioning Now Anti-opated	Cost Original (Revised)	Cost Now Anti-opated	Cost Expendi ture	Cost Balance Cost
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
SECTOR: HEALTH AND FAMILY WELFARE									
HEALTH AND FAMILY WELFARE									
1.	Biologicals N. Institute Noida Uttar Pradesh		1992/03 2001/02	2001/03 200503	2005/03	69.74 26924	269.24	88.43	180.81
SECTOR; RAILWAYS LINE									
2	Kanpur-Panki 3rd Line, NR Uttar Pradesh	KMS	199504 9	2000/12	NA	20.00	64.09	41.16	22.93

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
3. Muradnagar-Meerut. NR Uttar Pradesh	Kms 29.50	1995/04	1998/09	N.A.	31.00 39.62	62.59	62.28	0.31
4. Tunda-Yamuna Br.NR Uttar Pradesh	Kms 21	1995/04	N.A.	n.A.	24.60 35.95	66.04	23.15	42.79
5.Gonda-Jarwal RD NER Uttar Pradesh	Kms 45.45	1996/12	2000/02	2002/03	60.69	69.79	69.76	0.03
6.Ghaziabad- . Morabad-I NR Uttar Pradesh	Kms 36.97	1995AM	1998/06	NA	3000 43.64	58.73	66.77	-8.04
7 Amroha-MoradabaiNR Uttar Pradesh	Kms 30.5	200009	NA	200213	49.00	51.41	31.33	20.08
a Utratia-Chan DrauH-s'Pur NR: B'Kalan Uttar Pradesh	28KM+	200004	NA	200203	47.12	65.84	10.93	54.91
GAUGE CONVERSION									
9. Khaddi-Gora-Khpu.,NER Uttar Pradesh	Kms 86	1994/04	1996/12	N.A.	55.15 85.75	104.46	102.44	2.02
10. Kashipur-Lakua,NER Uttar Pradesh	Kms 58.5	1996/12	200202	NA	45.05 54.65	58.89	57.43	1.46
11. Kanpur-KGnj Mathura,NER	Kms 458.50	199703	NA	200202	395.00	538.68	84.75	453.93
NEW LINE									
12 Katra-Faizabad NER Uttar Pradesh	Kms 8.70	1994/04	NA	NA	5126	80.72	6392	1680

12	3	4	5	6		7	8	9	10
13. Agra-Etawah Fatebad	Kms 18.4 (CR) Uttar Pradesh		199404	NA	NA via	108.00	225.42	1251	21291
WORKSHOPS AND PRODUCTION UNIT									
14. DLW-4000 HP: I Locos NR:Varanasi Uttar Pradesh			1998/10	2001/03	2004/03 Diesel	37.44	43.27	19.45	23.82
SECTOR: ROAD TRANSPORT AND HIGHWAYS									
NATIONAL HIGHWAYS AUTHORITY OF INDIA									
GOLDEN QUADRILATERAL									
15. Naini Bridge: NH&NH27 Allahabad Uttar Pradesh			1995/03	2001/06	2003/04	100.36 300.00	393.00	11.44	381.56

Delay in completion of projects

3089. SHRI B.J. PANDA:
MISS MABEL REBELLO:

Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government are aware that the delay in projects would cost public exchequer of Rs. 41,000 crores;

(b) the reasons of delay in execution of the projects, sector-wise; and

(c) the remedial measures taken to reduce the delay and the savings if any, likely to be effected as a result thereof?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PLANNING (SHRI SATYABRATA MOOKHERJEE): (a) As on 1.10.2002, the 542 projects costing Rs. 20 crore and above, which were on the monitor of the Ministry of Statistics and Programme Implementation, had registered an overall cost overrun of Rs. 41,307 crore with reference to the original approved cost of Rs. 1,71,826 crore.

(a) The reasons for delay in execution of projects, sector-wise are given in the enclosed statement. (See below).

(b) The steps taken to ensure control over cost overrun in projects and reduce delays include:

(i) Adoption of two-stage clearance system and stricter appraisal of project reports before investment approval;

(ii) taking up of projects for implementation only after funds have been fully tied up;

(iii) in-depth review of projects on monthly as well as quarterly basis by the Government;

(iv) setting up of an Empowered Committee in the administrative Ministries for review of departmentally executed projects;

(v) setting up of Standing Committees in the Ministries/ Departments to fix responsibility for time and cost overruns;

(vi) appointment of nodal officers for each project with continuity of tenure; and

(vii) issue of guidelines on standard bidding documents for adoption.

These measures are expected to help minimise further cost overruns.